raents in the case of the 695 MW capacity Dabhol Project (Phase-I), in Maharashtra and the 420 MW capacity lb Valley project in Orissa. The promoters of these projects are now negotiating for financial closure.

'(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

विद्युत की मांग

117. श्री मूलचन्द मीणा :
श्री अजीत जोगी :
श्री रहस बिहारी बारिक:

क्या विद्युत मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार ने सन् 2000 ई. के अन्त तक विद्युत की मांग में वृद्धि होने के संबंध में कोई मूल्यांकन किया है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है ; और

(ग) उक्त अवधि के दौरान विभिन्न स्रोतों से कुल कितनी मात्रा में विद्युत उत्पादन किये जाने की संभावना है ?

विद्युत मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीमती उर्मिलाबेन चिमनभाई पटेल) : (क) और (ख) केन्द्रीय विद्युत प्राधिकरण द्वारा किए गए 14वें विद्युत सर्वेक्षण में 91191 मेगावाट की व्यस्तम-कालीन मांग तथा वर्ष 1999-2000 के लिये 517 मिलियन यूनिट की ऊर्जा अपेक्षा का अनुमान लगाया गया है।

(ग) सी.ई.ए. द्वारा एक प्रारम्भिक सर्वेक्षण किया गया है, जिसमें नवीं योजना-वधि के लिए क्षमता को 56783 मेगावाट तक बढ़ाए जाने की आवश्यकता दर्शाई गई है। इसके आधार पर 1999-2000 में अखिल भारत स्तर पर ऊर्जा उपलब्धता लगभग 504 मिलियन यूनिट होगी।

National Power policy

118. SHRI SARDA MOHANTY:
SHRI BHAGABAN MAJHI:

Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the main problems in the power front at present;

(b) whether most of the State Electricity Boards in States (SEBs) are running at loss and the losses are mounting every year;

(c) if so, the details thereof, Statewise for the last 5 years and the main factors responsible therefor:

(d) whether there is a need to introduce a national power policy to overcome this crisis; and

(e) if so, the steps proposed to be taken by Government in that direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI URMILABEN CHIMANBHAI PATEL): (a) The main problems confronting the Power Sector, *inter alia,* include fund constraints, inadequate utilisation of the existing capacity, subsidised supply of power to the agriculture and domestic sectors, high transmission and distribution losses, non-realisation of overdues etc.

(b) and (c) Out of the 17 State Electricity Boards 5 SEBs are showing losses as per the provisional accounts of 1992-93, even after taking into account RE subsidy as may be seen from the enclosed Statement *(See* below). The major reasons for the losses of the SEBs include unseientific power tariff structure including that for the agricultural sector, nonpayment of RE subsidy by the State Governments to the SEBs non-conver-

sion of loan into equity, low level of PLF, high T&D losses, high fuel consumption in thermal power stations etc.

(d) and (e) The Power Ministers' Conference held in January. 1993 adopted an Action Plan which *inter alia* provided for, rationalisation of tariff structure and fixation of minimum agricultural tariff at 50 paise/ Kwh. A Committee on Power has also been constituted by NDC to review the institutional and financial structure of the State Power utilities and recommended necessary changes

Statement

Yearly profaloss of the State Electricity Boards after taking into account RE subsidy as provided in the Accounts

Sl. No.	Name of the SEB	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91 (*)	1991-92 (*)	1992-93 (*)
1	Andhra Pradesh	16.80	2.50	81.00	84.44	79.45
2	Bihar	190.95	-247.71	-178.89	-113.72	191.19
3	Gujarat	13.90	-203.40	100.33	70.85	89.79
4	Haryana	-52.13	56.75	-91.73	-206.80	-335.00
5	Himachal Pradesh	71.70	-5.88	6.12	2.73	11.82
6	Karnataka	—50.60	84.30	21.30	24.30	32.21
7	Kerala	-14.30	-11.60	-21.70	-35.68	18.51
8	Madhya Pradesh	80.01	76.19	76.92	83.71	101.01
9	Maharashtra	39.50	14.50	48.45	125.19	272.00
10	Orissa	24.70	18.20	24.52	24.14	25.96
11	Punjab	-59.11	57.80	-68.40	4.70	-118.53
12	Rajasthan	-57.20	-147.80	-100.41	61.80	64.69
13	Tamil Nadu	41.30	58.20	72.17	84.38	225.10
14	Uttar Pradesh	1.10	42.00	45.64	67.10	136.31
15	West Bengal	-38.80	-45.30	-102.62	-92.23	-28.35
16	Assam	-77.89	-92.81	-101.84	-225.50	-70.68
17	Meghalaya	1.48	1.08	-3.55	8.80	-5.96

(Rs. m crores)

Central power project finance scheme

119. SHRI GOPAL SING G SOLANKEIO : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state

(a) the details of the ongoing projects funded out of the Central Power Projcet Finance scheme in Gujart